

Throwing Skill Fundamentals Guide - Review Performance

Throwing | Review Performance | Asset ID CRIC-20-01-10

Purpose: This original printable skill fundamentals guide helps players, coaches, clubs, schools and families work with throwing while pursuing one defined outcome: convert notes and results into one practical next step. Its method is to explain the purpose, rehearse slowly and add pace only after control. Use the pages as a working record, not as a substitute for current competition rules, safe facilities, suitable equipment and qualified coaching or health guidance where those are needed.

Resource Map

The subject focus is to produce an accurate, safe return through footwork, alignment and suitable effort. The six working areas below define the scope for this specific resource. Complete only the rows that matter to the present practice block, and date any observation that may change.

#	Working area	Current evidence	Next check
1	Pickup Setup	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
2	Crow Hop	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
3	Shoulder Alignment	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
4	Release	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
5	Target	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
6	Recovery	Known / estimated / not checked	_____

Learning and Adaptation Starting Brief

- Gather: Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case? Gather enough records to review the work fairly.
- Align: How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment? Align dates, conditions and measures before comparison.
- Detect: What boundary on release must be checked before relying on target? Detect a repeated strength, gap or turning point.
- Explain: Which observation links pickup setup to release, and what remains uncertain? Explain the pattern without hiding contradictory evidence.
- Prioritise: What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery? Prioritise one adjustment with the highest useful value.
- Edition success means: choose a small next target supported by the session record

Skill Fundamentals Guide

This format is designed to convert a cricket skill into observable, coachable elements. For throwing, apply the method in a deliberate sequence: explain the purpose, rehearse slowly and add pace only after control. The edition target is review performance, so each entry should show what was noticed, what was tried and what changed.

Working step	What to record	Evidence or source	Status
Gather Recovery	Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case?	Review set / date	Open / checked
Align Pickup Setup	How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment?	Comparable record / date	Open / checked
Detect Crow Hop	What boundary on release must be checked before relying on target?	Learning pattern / date	Open / checked
Explain Shoulder Alignment	Which observation links pickup setup to release, and what remains uncertain?	Bounded explanation / date	Open / checked
Prioritise Release	What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery?	Next priority / date	Open / checked
Schedule Target	What next check on target would make the record about crow hop more useful?	Review date / date	Open / checked

Completion Standard

- Keep the work tied to throwing, not to a vague general intention.
- Apply this adjustment: separate context, execution and outcome
- Which single adjustment is best supported by the complete review record?
- Expose this outcome risk if it appears: noise is mistaken for a pattern.
- Use original notes and explanations; quote only when necessary, lawful and properly attributed.
- Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional to challenge one material assumption where appropriate.
- Close with one decision and one follow-up rather than an unranked list of ideas.

Working Note

Edition CRIC-20-01-10 pairs skill fundamentals guide with the outcome 'Review Performance'. That pairing is the boundary for this workbook: material that does not help that outcome belongs in a separate record.

Planning x Learning and Adaptation Focused Practice Lab

This lab pairs a planning method with a learning and adaptation outcome lens. Work through the six actions to turn the subject focus - produce an accurate, safe return through footwork, alignment and suitable effort - into evidence for review performance. Preserve any gap that prevents a supported conclusion.

#	Action	Constraint	Record
1	Clarify / Gather target	Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case? Gather enough records to review the work fairly.	Scope note / Review set
2	Bound / Align recovery	How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment? Align dates, conditions and measures before comparison.	Baseline / Comparable record
3	Baseline / Detect pickup setup	What boundary on release must be checked before relying on target? Detect a repeated strength, gap or turning point.	Priority / Learning pattern
4	Sequence / Explain crow hop	Which observation links pickup setup to release, and what remains uncertain? Explain the pattern without hiding contradictory evidence.	Plan / Bounded explanation
5	Assign / Prioritise shoulder alignment	What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery? Prioritise one adjustment with the highest useful value.	Risk note / Next priority
6	Schedule / Schedule release	What next check on target would make the record about crow hop more useful? Schedule the next check and preserve the review trail.	Owner / date / Review date

Common Failure Modes

- For target, watch for the purpose is unclear together with the review set is selective.
- For recovery, watch for the scope is too wide together with unlike records are compared.
- For pickup setup, watch for two priorities conflict together with noise is mistaken for a pattern.
- For crow hop, watch for the owner is unavailable together with contradictory evidence is omitted.
- For shoulder alignment, watch for a dependency fails together with too many adjustments are prioritised.

Independent Review Question

Format question: Which planning assumption most affected the result, and why? Outcome question: Which single adjustment is best supported by the complete review record? Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional where appropriate, then answer before the next practice block: _____

Cricket Practice Plan for Review Performance

Use one defined practice block to test the outcome rather than attempting every possible task. This edition pairs planning with learning and adaptation to pursue this objective: convert notes and results into one practical next step. Preserve the starting condition and both evidence trails.

Plan field	Entry
Purpose	Review Performance
Starting condition	_____
Chosen working area	_____
Bounded explanation	separate context, execution and outcome
Evidence to capture	_____
Stop or review condition	_____

Thirty Minute Practice Shape

Stage	Action	Evidence
0-5 min	Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case? Confirm the purpose and scope.	Scope note / Review set
5-10 min	How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment? Record the starting state.	Baseline / Comparable record
10-18 min	What boundary on release must be checked before relying on target? Choose the highest-value priority.	Priority / Learning pattern
18-24 min	Which observation links pickup setup to release, and what remains uncertain? Set the action sequence and decision rule.	Plan / Bounded explanation
24-27 min	What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery? Challenge one dependency or risk.	Risk note / Next priority
27-30 min	What next check on target would make the record about crow hop more useful? Assign the next review and owner.	Owner / date / Review date

Checkpoint Log

Checkpoint	Condition	Observation	Decision
1	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
2	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
3	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
4	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
5	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check

Plan Versus Actual Review - Learning and Adaptation Lens

This comparison tests throwing against review performance through two independent questions. Format: Which planning assumption most affected the result, and why? Outcome: Which single adjustment is best supported by the complete review record? Record material setting differences instead of averaging them away.

Evidence area	Baseline	Alternative	Interpretation prompt
Crow Hop	_____	_____	Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case?
Shoulder Alignment	_____	_____	How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment?
Release	_____	_____	What boundary on release must be checked before relying on target?
Target	_____	_____	Which observation links pickup setup to release, and what remains uncertain?
Recovery	_____	_____	What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery?
Pickup Setup	_____	_____	What next check on target would make the record about crow hop more useful?

Format Decision Test

Field	Record
Review question	Which planning assumption most affected the result, and why?
Outcome question	Which single adjustment is best supported by the complete review record?
Acceptance lens	choose a small next target supported by the session record
Conditions held steady	_____
Evidence supporting the choice	_____
Evidence against the choice	_____

Planning Confidence Check

- Review set: what was actually seen, heard, measured or read?
- Format evidence: which record best demonstrates risks reviewed?
- Outcome evidence: which record best demonstrates patterns detected?
- Challenge: could unlike records are compared explain the result?
- Subject boundary: what does this comparison leave unresolved about recovery?

Planning x Learning and Adaptation Scenario Cards

Use these paired scenarios to rehearse judgement for review performance. Each card joins a planning failure mode to an outcome-specific risk. State what remains open and which evidence named in the final column should be collected next.

#	Situation	Review question	Decision
1	Recovery: a dependency fails.	Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case?	Review set
2	Pickup Setup: the success measure is vague.	How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment?	Comparable record
3	Crow Hop: the purpose is unclear.	What boundary on release must be checked before relying on target?	Learning pattern
4	Shoulder Alignment: the scope is too wide.	Which observation links pickup setup to release, and what remains uncertain?	Bounded explanation
5	Release: two priorities conflict.	What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery?	Next priority
6	Target: the owner is unavailable.	What next check on target would make the record about crow hop more useful?	Review date

Decision Record

Field	Notes
Chosen format scenario	_____
Outcome lens	Which single adjustment is best supported by the complete review record?
Evidence available	_____
Evidence missing	_____
Controlled next step	_____
Person / review date	_____

Eight Planning and Learning and Adaptation Evidence Tracker

Track review performance across eight practice blocks using the planning method and the learning and adaptation outcome lens. Keep conditions visible and use this review question: Which single adjustment is best supported by the complete review record?

#	Focus	Condition	Result	Next action
1	Shoulder Alignment	_____	_____	_____
2	Release	_____	_____	_____
3	Target	_____	_____	_____
4	Recovery	_____	_____	_____
5	Pickup Setup	_____	_____	_____
6	Crow Hop	_____	_____	_____
7	Shoulder Alignment	_____	_____	_____
8	Release	_____	_____	_____

Planning Evidence Dashboard

Measure	Baseline	Current	Evidence location
Pickup Setup: Scope Decisions Settled / Records Gathered	_____	_____	_____
Crow Hop: Priorities Ranked / Conditions Aligned	_____	_____	_____
Shoulder Alignment: Owners Assigned / Patterns Detected	_____	_____	_____
Release: Dates Recorded / Explanations Qualified	_____	_____	_____
Target: Risks Reviewed / Adjustments Prioritised	_____	_____	_____
Recovery: Actions Completed / Reviews Scheduled	_____	_____	_____

Interpret the Trend

- I included evidence that challenged the most convenient explanation.
- I selected one next adjustment and scheduled when it will be reviewed.
- Keep the method only when the evidence for review performance improves without hiding unlike records are compared.
- What alternative explanation could account for both shoulder alignment and recovery?
- Use a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional when the evidence crosses a safety, rights, health or authority boundary.

Planning x Learning and Adaptation Next Step Plan

Finish by turning the paired format and outcome record into one owned action. For this skill fundamentals guide, the intended result was review performance; the outcome test is: Which single adjustment is best supported by the complete review record? The close-out standard remains: choose a small next target supported by the session record.

Close-out field	Record
Most useful evidence	_____
Important limitation	_____
Decision	Keep / adapt / pause / seek review
Next action	_____
Owner and date	_____
Evidence location	_____

Completion Review

- Subject check: Which evidence separates recovery from pickup setup in this case?
- Subject check: How does crow hop change the interpretation of shoulder alignment?
- Format check: I confirmed the scope, owner and review date.
- Outcome check: I included evidence that challenged the most convenient explanation.
- I used a progressive warm-up and did not ignore pain, unsafe surfaces, heat or fatigue.
- I kept the drill generic and did not imply affiliation with a player, team, league or governing body.

Originality and Responsible Use

Original independent resource. This general practice, observation and review aid was written specifically for asset CRIC-20-01-10. It does not reproduce a book passage, manual, official form, commercial question bank, branded training plan, broadcast, photograph, logo, artwork, map, proprietary diagram or third-party worksheet. Any user-added quotation, image, question or source material remains the user's responsibility and may require attribution or permission.

Educational use only. Verify current facts, rules, competition requirements, local regulations, health and safety conditions with authoritative sources and a suitably qualified person where consequences matter. This workbook does not grant approval, certification, legal rights or permission to use third-party material.